| Feedbacker:   |
|---|
| Name of paper being feedbacked:   |
| OPTIONAL: Type / Format of feedback the authors prefer:   |
|   |
| First quickly read / skim the paper. Summarize the paper in 2-3 sentences.  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Next, read the paper in more detail, with the following points in mind (there is space in the back for overarching feedback):   |
| Writing   |
| Please comment on the writing style. Example questions: Was the writing clear? Did you understand what was going on? If it was confusing, what made it confusing? What could make it better? And did you enjoy reading the paper? |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

## Contribution

| thing this paper is contribution of the paper. Example questions: what is the main important thing this paper is contributing? Is the contribution clear, or are you confused? Do you feel the paper convinced you that the problem they are studying is important, and their findings help advance the field? |
|--|
| neu:   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| <u>Prior work</u>  |
| Please comment on the prior work. Example questions: Do you feel that prior work wa referenced properly? Do you feel the paper provided enough background information for you to understand the problem they were addressing?  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

## Logic

| Please comment on the logic of the paper. Do the arguments the paper is presenting make sense? Are their conclusions supported by their data? Do you believe their arguments, and can you think of counterarguments |
|---|
| counterarguments  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Overarching thoughts  |
| Any final, overarching thoughts on the paper?   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |

| OPTIONAL ADDITIONAL POINTS OF FEEDBACK IF YOU HAVE TIME AND/OR WANT MORE HANDS-ON GUIDANCE  |
|---|
| <u>Figures.</u> Does the paper use figures? If yes, are they clear at a glance what it is about? Or are they confusing? If there are no figures, could a figure be used to summarize their main points? |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Organization. Is the paper properly organized? Do the sections flow well, or would you want to rearrange certain sections?  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| Length. Is the paper too long? What are ways to cut it down (e.g., is the writing too fluffy? Are there sections that can be cut)?  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
| <u>Typos / grammar / miscellaneous small things</u> . Are the missing sections? Lots of typos? Broken references? Highlight them in the paper!  |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |
|   |